UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/711,100 | 08/23/2004 | Paul Wayne Nagy | IAC 04920 PUS | 5099 |
| | 25286 7590 04/10/2008 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. INTL. AUTOMOTIVE COMPONENTS GROUP | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | STERLING, AMY JO | |
| | 00 TOWN CENTER /ENTY-SECOND FLOOR UTHFIELD, MI 48075 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SOUTHFIELD, | | | 3632 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/10/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/711,100 | NAGY ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | AMY J. STERLING | 3632 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma | arch 2008. | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| ,— | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. | ·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | , | | | | | |
| ··· <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 4) The tens of the Control | (PTO 442) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

This is a non-final Office Action for application number 10/071,100 Radio Bin Spacer filed on 8/23/04. Claims 1-17 are pending. This non-final Action is in response to applicant's Appeal Brief reply dated 3/10/08. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1 and 10 recite, "the interior dimensions allowing mounting of a standard sized radio directly therein".

The specification does not adequately describe as to what size is a standard sized radio.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 10 recite, "the interior dimensions allowing mounting of a standard

sized radio directly therein". It is unclear what is meant by a standard sized radio.

Response to Arguments

The rejection under 102(b) in view of Tanaka is hereby withdrawn. The applicant

must fully describe what is meant by a standard sized radio.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling

at telephone number 571-272-6823. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8

a.m.-5:00 p.m.). The fax machine number for the Technology center is 571-273-8300

(formal amendments) or 571-273-6823 (Informal communications). Any inquiry of a

general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the

Technology Center receptionist at 571-272-3600.

/Amy J. Sterling/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3632

4/10/08

Application/Control Number: 10/711,100

Page 4

Art Unit: 3632